

Search Terms: **Rose Institute, Rose Institute**

FOCUS™

[Search Within Results](#)[Edit Search](#)[Print](#)[Email](#)[◀ previous](#) Document 3 of 5. [next ▶](#)[Document List](#) [Expanded List](#) [KWIC](#) [Full](#)Copyright 2005 McClatchy Newspapers, Inc.  
Modesto Bee

October 17, 2005, Monday, ALL EDITION

**SECTION:** A; Pg. A1**LENGTH:** 1265 words**HEADLINE:** PARTIES DOUBTFUL ABOUT PROP. 77 REDISTRICTING PLAN MAY NOT CREATE COMPETITION AND MAY MAKE OTHER PROBLEMS**BYLINE:** BY JIM SANDERS, THE SACRAMENTO BEE**DATELINE:** SACRAMENTO**BODY:**

Richard "Dick" Dickerson knows all about back-stabbing and backroom deals in the drawing of political boundaries, a process targeted by Proposition 77 on the Nov. 8 ballot.

Dickerson, a Redding assemblyman in 2001, angered Republican Party leaders by breaking ranks and voting to end a bitter Budget impasse after Democrats promised millions for his Redding district.

"A few of the senators from my own party actually said, 'We're going to get you,'" Dickerson recalled. "That's how the game is played."

Two months later, the legislature approved new district maps that ultimately ended Dickerson's legislative career.

With campaigning over Proposition 77 kicking into gear, millions of California voters may weigh stories such as Dickerson's in deciding whether judges, rather than lawmakers, should draw district boundaries for legislative, congressional and Board of Equalization seats.

But disagreement between Dickerson and Oakland Vice Mayor Jane Brunner, a Democrat who also saw her political aspirations devastated by legislative redistricting, illustrates that debate over Proposition 77 is not only about the efficacy of the current system, but also whether the ballot measure is the proper alternative.

Dickerson will vote yes on Proposition 77, but Brunner is dubious.

Now a Redding councilman, Dickerson was burned when district lines for a Senate seat he was planning to seek were extended into the Sierra foothills just far enough to enable then-Assemblyman Sam Aanestad to challenge him in a fiery Republican primary.

Aanestad, backed by a majority of GOP legislators, won handily.

"It was a message to anybody who puts their constituents ahead of their party," Dickerson said of the redistricting.

"I don't have a problem with anything that gets (redistricting) out of the hands of elected folks, because they're out to protect their own positions, first and foremost," he said.

Brunner has a similar story, but a different point of view.

Four years ago, Brunner was running for the seat of termed-out Assembly woman Dion Aroner, a Berkeley Democrat, when her north Oakland neighborhood suddenly was dropped from the 14th Assembly District.

"There was a little two-block bubble and I was out," she said.

Lines were redrawn in a way that benefited Democrat Loni Hancock, who soon thereafter announced her candidacy and ultimately won the seat.

Hancock is the wife of Tom Bates, who formerly held the Bay Area Assembly seat and was succeeded by Aroner, his longtime chief of staff.

"It gave me a real idea of what Sacramento (politics) is like," Brunner said. "I think there's a lot of backroom wheeling and dealing. And that's too bad."

Brunner is undecided about Proposition 77, citing judicial wrangling that she felt was partisan over counting votes in President Bush's narrow victory over Al Gore five years ago.

"I think judges are very political people, we just don't know where they stand," she said.

Proposition 77's details are contested even among politicians who support revising California's redistricting process.

#### SYSTEM NEEDS COMPETITION

Supporters portray the constitutional amendment as part of a populist revolution -- begun by the recall of Gov. Davis -- that seeks to strip power from entrenched politicians.

Gov. Schwarzenegger, in a Fresno campaign appearance, said the system is so unbalanced that incumbents can do a lousy job and still get re-elected. Not one of 153 legislative or congressional seats changed party hands in last year's election.

"If we have more competitive districts, then we create competition," Schwarzenegger said. "And competition creates performance. It's that simple."

Schwarzenegger has tapped Silicon Valley entrepreneur Steve Poizner to lead the pro-77 campaign. A multi-million-dollar battle is being waged. Supporters thus far have contributed about \$6 million and opponents \$8 million.

Critics portray the initiative, which would draw new lines next year, as a Republican-driven power grab that is not supported even by some key GOP leaders.

"What they're trying to do is throw out all the rules and amend the state constitution so that we can spend millions of dollars and (give them) a partisan advantage," said Daniel Lowenstein, former chairman of the state Fair Political Practices Commission and leader of a committee opposing Proposition 77.

Congressman John Doolittle, R-Rocklin., fears that the measure, pushed by a Republican governor, could backfire and cost the GOP several seats in Congress.

Nobody knows for sure how many competitive seats Proposition 77 could create. The non-profit **Rose Institute** predicted the initiative would produce an additional 11 competitive seats in the legislature and 10 in Congress, but its computer modeling is hotly contested.

Most California communities tilt naturally liberal or conservative: In 49 of the 58 counties, the gap between Democratic and Republican voter registration exceeds 5 percent.

Political analysts disagree over whether new lines would boost prospects for moderate candidates of both parties.

Under Proposition 77, new district maps would be drawn next year, then again after the federal census of

2010 and every decade thereafter.

A three-member panel of retired state or federal judges, consisting of at least one Democrat and one Republican, would set the boundaries after holding at least three public hearings statewide.

Candidates would be selected from 24 nominees submitted by the state Judicial Council. The list would be winnowed by legislative leaders of both parties to a minimum of eight and a maximum of 12 finalists.

In drawing new maps, priority must be given to keeping districts compact and to honoring city and county boundaries. Potential impacts on incumbents or their parties could not be considered.

New districts would take effect immediately, then be submitted to voters for ratification. Thus, legislators could be elected to serve districts that ultimately are disbanded. If this happens, the new officeholders would serve for at least two years, until a new three-judge panel is selected and completes new maps.

Twelve states give commissions final say in drawing legislative districts, according to the Assembly elections committee.

Voters have rejected an overhaul of the redistricting process four times since 1980, but supporters contend that disgust has reached a critical mass.

"It's very clear that the system is flawed, it's corrupt, and it's contemptuous of the voting public," said Bill Mundell, a leading supporter of Proposition 77. "I think voters understand."

Opponents counter that Californians should read Proposition 77's fine print, arguing that the initiative would:

Immediately draw maps that would not take into account the migration of several million new Californians, because current census data is five years old.

Give inadequate consideration to public input because new maps would be required within a couple of months, when candidates begin filing for the June primary.

Create a system in which powerful interest groups could pour millions into scare tactics to persuade voters, who know little about redistricting, to reject new boundary lines drawn.

Potentially give short shrift to minority groups by placing emphasis on city and county boundaries, rather than on "communities of interest"; and by limiting the size of the panel to three judges.

"There needs to be redistricting reform," said attorney Eugene Lee of the Asian Pacific American Legal Center. "But it has to be done the right way. If not, it can actually be harmful."

**GRAPHIC:** (BRIAN BAER / THE SACRAMENTO BEE) Redding City Council member Dick Dickerson supports Proposition 77. He said GOP senators told him 'We're going to get you' after breaking with the party in a budget vote.

**LOAD-DATE:** October 18, 2005

[◀previous](#) Document 3 of 5. [next▶](#)

[Terms & Conditions](#) [Privacy](#) [Copyright ©](#) 2005 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. All Rights Reserved