

Search Terms: **Rose Institute, Rose Institute**

FOCUS™

[Search Within Results](#)[Edit Search](#)[Print](#)[Email](#)[Document List](#)[Expanded List](#)[KWIC](#)[Full](#)

Document 1 of 1.

Copyright 2005 Ventura County Star
All Rights Reserved
Ventura County Star (California)

September 28, 2005 Wednesday

SECTION: MAIN NEWS; Pg. 4

LENGTH: 834 words

HEADLINE: Prop. 77 would bring competition, study says

BYLINE: Jim Sanders

BODY:

Initiative would produce 22 districts that either party could win, says a report criticized by measure's foes
Sacramento Bee

SACRAMENTO -- A hotly disputed study of Proposition 77's likely effects concludes that California's redistricting initiative would produce an additional 11 competitive seats in the state Legislature and 10 in Congress.

The **Rose Institute** study, likely to become a centerpiece of debate over Proposition 77, was immediately touted by supporters of the initiative as a boost to their campaign and by opponents as one-sided propaganda.

The 36-page study, using computer modeling, predicts that passage of Proposition 77 in the Nov. 8 election would boost the number of competitive seats in California politics to:

- Ten in the U.S. House of Representatives, compared with none today.
- Seven in the state Assembly, compared with three today.
- Eight in the state Senate, compared with one today.

Proposition 77 supporters say competition would make politicians less secure and force them to be more responsive to constituents. None of 153 legislative or congressional seats on the 2004 ballot changed party hands.

New Latino majorities

Redistricting also would produce a majority of Latino voters in congressional districts currently represented by Reps. Howard Berman of Mission Hills and Bob Filner of San Diego, both Democrats, the study concludes.

The Rose report marks the first attempt by an academic institution to assess the likely effects of Proposition 77, which would remove from the Legislature and give to a three-member panel of retired judges the power

to draw political boundaries.

Douglas Johnson, who coordinated the study for the **Rose Institute**, a branch of Claremont McKenna College, said the results suggest Proposition 77 would play a significant role in restoring competition to California politics.

"By these numbers, neither party would clearly benefit -- but the voters certainly would," Johnson said.

The vast majority of California's legislative and congressional seats would remain strongly tilted toward one party, however, in a state where large cities such as San Francisco and Los Angeles are strongly Democratic and suburban regions tend to be Republican.

Both parties affected

The Rose study found that neither the GOP nor the Democratic Party would be affected inordinately in changing safe seats to competitive ones.

Bruce Cain, a redistricting expert who was involved in Democratic remapping efforts in the 1980s, lent credence to the **Rose Institute** report by saying its conclusions appear to be reasonable based upon his own research.

"Their numbers are an estimate, all our numbers are an estimate, but they're perfectly sensible," said Cain, director of the University of California Academic Center in Washington, D.C., and head of the Institute of Governmental Studies at the University of California-Berkeley, which operates the state's publicly accessible Web site for redistricting data.

Cain said his research, on behalf of the Irvine Foundation, has shown that Proposition 77 could create nine to 15 competitive congressional seats.

The Rose report used voter registration and results of the 2000 presidential election to define a competitive district.

It defined a competitive district as one in which either presidential candidate in 2000 received less than a 10 percent advantage; or one in which district registration does not favor Republicans by more than five percentage points or Democrats by more than 10 percentage points.

Critics dispute report's findings

Opponents of Proposition 77 branded the **Rose Institute** report as speculative, meaningless and partisan, saying that the institute has been linked to GOP redistricting efforts in decades past.

"The **Rose Institute** is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Republican Party," Steve Maviglio, a spokesman for Assembly Speaker Fabian Nunez, said in a statement.

"Proposition 77 is a political power play," added Paul Hefner, a spokesman for Californians for Fair Representation, which opposes Proposition 77. "It doesn't surprise me that partisan groups behind it would conjecture to support it."

Contributors to the **Rose Institute's** redistricting efforts, although not specifically to the newly released study, include R.B. "Buzz" Wooley, who also has donated \$25,000 to the campaign to pass Proposition 77; and Steve Poizner, chairman of the Yes on 77 committee.

Poizner said the study bolsters the case for Proposition 77. "I think it (the study) provides the analysis for something we already knew: When you have fair and free elections, you're going to get a lot of competition. And competition is a healthy thing," he said.

Johnson said his study is nonpartisan and neither supports nor opposes the initiative.

"There's been a lot of talk about a Republican power grab," he said. "Looking at how many districts would be competitive, (the study) does not put Republicans in the majority in any of the three groups -- the Assembly, state Senate or Congress."

Distributed by Scripps-McClatchy Western Service.

LOAD-DATE: September 28, 2005

Document 1 of 1.

[Terms & Conditions](#) [Privacy](#) [Copyright ©](#) 2005 LexisNexis, a division of Reed Elsevier Inc. All Rights Reserve